

Future Generations Evaluation (includes Equalities and Sustainability Impact Assessments)

Name of the Officer completing the evaluation Mark Hand	Please give a brief description of the aims of the proposal Budget mandate B6
Phone no: 01633 644803 E-mail: markhand@monmouthshire.gov.uk	£50k reduction in spend on infrastructure from 16/17, with spending replaced by S106/CIL funding streams
Name of Service	Date Future Generations Evaluation form completed
Planning	13/09/2015

1. Does your proposal deliver any of the well-being goals below? Please explain the impact (positive and negative) you expect, together with suggestions of how to mitigate negative impacts or better contribute to the goal.

Well Being Goal	How does the proposal contribute to this goal? (positive and negative)	What actions have been/will be taken to mitigate any negative impacts or better contribute to positive impacts?
A prosperous Wales Efficient use of resources, skilled, educated people, generates wealth, provides jobs	Positive: the proposal would focus S106 financial resources on more strategic projects which seek to make the best use of limited resources and benefit a greater number of people. Negative: funding would effectively be withdrawn for small scale projects.	15% of CIL receipts will go to the community, and is likely to be administered by the relevant Town or Community Council. TC/CCs must use this money for local level infrastructure that they consider to be of value. Some of this money could go to small scale local projects currently funded via S106, should TC/CCs wish. This could go some way to mitigate the negative effects.
A resilient Wales Maintain and enhance biodiversity and ecosystems that support resilience and	Positive: S106 contributions can be used towards strategic green infrastructure and/or flood	The Council has adopted Green Infrastructure Supplementary Planning Guidance which seeks to secure a co-ordinated approach. This

	How does the proposal contribute to this	What actions have been/will be taken to
Well Being Goal	goal? (positive and negative)	mitigate any negative impacts or better contribute to positive impacts?
can adapt to change (e.g. climate change)	defences, where such spend meets the requirements set out in the Regulations Negative: some smaller scale local schemes could no longer receive S106 monies, however the intention behind the GI concept is that the infrastructure is on a more strategic and joined-up level.	infrastructure can form part of the Council's CIL Regulation 123 infrastructure list
A healthier Wales People's physical and mental wellbeing is maximized and health impacts are understood	Positive: the proposal would focus S106 financial resources on more strategic projects which seek to make the best use of limited resources and benefit a greater number of people. This proposal is likely to have the greatest impact on leisure related infrastructure. Negative: funding would effectively be withdrawn for small scale projects.	The existing S106 Working Group and S106 spend approval process via Select Committee will oversee this process. It is expected that a similar process will be introduced as part of the Community Infrastructure Levy processes in due course.
Positive: By using S106 funding in a more strategic way, new developments will have to connect with existing surrounding communities, for example by utilising improved existing play facilities rather than providing new small play areas within the site for those new residents alone. Negative: n/a		None.
A globally responsible Wales Taking account of impact on global well-being when considering local	Positive: Legislation requires that S106 monies are spent on infrastructure related to the proposed development, and proportionate to that development. Although there is little prospect of	None

Well Being Goal	How does the proposal contribute to this goal? (positive and negative)	What actions have been/will be taken to mitigate any negative impacts or better contribute to positive impacts?
social, economic and environmental wellbeing	such contributions having a global impact, sustainable development is a material planning consideration. Negative: n/a	
A Wales of vibrant culture and thriving Welsh language Culture, heritage and Welsh language are promoted and protected. People are encouraged to do sport, art and recreation	Positive: the proposal would focus S106 financial resources on more strategic projects which seek to make the best use of limited resources and benefit a greater number of people. The Welsh language is a material planning consideration. Negative: funding would effectively be withdrawn for small scale projects.	15% of CIL receipts will go to the community, and is likely to be administered by the relevant Town or Community Council. TC/CCs can use this money for local level infrastructure that they consider to be of value.
A more equal Wales People can fulfil their potential no matter what their background or circumstances	Positive: the proposal would focus S106 financial resources on more strategic projects which seek to make the best use of limited resources and benefit a greater number of people. Negative: funding would effectively be withdrawn for small scale projects.	15% of CIL receipts will go to the community, and is likely to be administered by the relevant Town or Community Council. TC/CCs can use this money for local level infrastructure that they consider to be of value.

2. How has your proposal embedded and prioritised the sustainable governance principles in its development?

Sustainable Development How does your proposal demonstrate you have met this principle?		What has been done to better to meet this principle?	
Balancing short term need with long term and planning for the future	We are required to look beyond the usual short term timescales for financial planning and political cycles and instead plan with the longer term in mind (i.e. 20+ years) Focussing limited funding on more strategic projects instead of multiple small scale local projects is a more sustainable long term approach.	No further action has been taken	
Collaboration Working together with other partners to deliver objectives	The budget mandate is predicated on working with partners to identify more strategic infrastructure that can be funded via S106 or CIL, freeing up other budgets to create a £50k budget saving.	The existing S106 Working Group, community engagement process operated by MCC to identify opportunities, and S106 spend approval via Select Committee represent best practice.	
Involving those with an interest and seeking their views	The budget mandate is predicated on working with partners to identify more strategic infrastructure that can be funded via S106 or CIL, freeing up other budgets to create a £50k budget saving.	The existing S106 Working Group, community engagement process operated by MCC to identify opportunities, and S106 spend approval via Select Committee represent best practice.	
Putting resources into preventing problems occurring or getting worse	The budget mandate seeks to allocate S106 money to strategic solutions that benefit greater numbers of people and is sustainable in the longer term. The mandate therefore directly seeks to best focus infrastructure provision to avoid creating small scale projects whose long-term funding is not sustainable.	No further action has been taken.	

Sustainable Development Principle	How does your proposal demonstrate you have met this principle?	What has been done to better to meet this principle?	
Positively impacting on people, economy and environment and trying to benefit all three	There is space to describe impacts on people, economy and environment under the Wellbeing Goals above, so instead focus here on how you will better integrate them and balance any competing impacts Planning decisions must deliver sustainable forms of development. S106 contributions help to offset the infrastructure demands of new development. This budget mandate seeks to ensure S106 monies are spent in the most sustainable way, freeing up other scarce Council budgets to generate a saving.	No further action has been taken.	

3. Are your proposals going to affect any people or groups of people with protected characteristics? Please explain the impact, the evidence you have used and any action you are taking below.

Protected Characteristics	Describe any positive impacts your proposal has on the protected characteristic	Describe any negative impacts your proposal has on the protected characteristic	What has been/will be done to mitigate any negative impacts or better contribute to positive impacts?
Age	None.	The mandate seeks to focus S106 spend on more strategic projects rather than on very small scale matters. One example could be improving and expanding equipped play areas in a play area serving a wider neighbourhood rather than a small poorly equipped play area on each housing development. By definition this would mean some infrastructure is provided further away from people's homes. This could disproportionately affect children or elderly people who cannot travel greater distances.	The regulations require that any infrastructure funded by S106 is related to the development in question and therefore it must still be within the reasonable vicinity of the development.
Disability	None	The mandate seeks to focus S106 spend on more strategic projects rather than on very small scale matters. One example could be improving and expanding equipped play areas in a play area serving a wider neighbourhood rather than a small poorly equipped play area on each housing development. By definition this would mean some infrastructure is provided further away from people's homes. This could disproportionately affect people with mobility issues who cannot travel greater distances.	The regulations require that any infrastructure funded by S106 is related to the development in question and therefore it must still be within the reasonable vicinity of the development.
Gender	None	None	No action proposed
reassignment			

Protected Characteristics	Describe any positive impacts your proposal has on the protected characteristic	Describe any negative impacts your proposal has on the protected characteristic	What has been/will be done to mitigate any negative impacts or better contribute to positive impacts?
Marriage or civil partnership	None	None	No action proposed
Race	None	None	No action proposed
Religion or Belief	None	None	No action proposed
Sex	None	None	No action proposed
Sexual Orientation	None	None	No action proposed
Welsh Language	Under the Welsh Language measure of 2011, we need to be considering Welsh Language in signage, documentation, posters, language skills etc. None.	None	No proposed action.

4. Council has agreed the need to consider the impact its decisions has on important responsibilities of Corporate Parenting and safeguarding. Are your proposals going to affect either of these responsibilities? For more information please see the guidance note http://hub/corporatedocs/Democratic%20Services/Equality%20impact%20assessment%20and%20safeguarding.docx and for more on Monmouthshire's Corporate Parenting Strategy see http://hub/corporatedocs/SitePages/Corporate%20Parenting%20Strategy.aspx

	Describe any positive impacts your proposal has on safeguarding and corporate parenting	Describe any negative impacts your proposal has on safeguarding and corporate parenting	What will you do/ have you done to mitigate any negative impacts or better contribute to positive impacts?
Safeguarding	Safeguarding in this context applies to both children (not yet reached 18 th birthday) and vulnerable adults (over 18 who is or may be in need of community care services by reason of mental or other disability, age or illness and who is or may be unable to take care of himself or herself, or unable to protect himself or herself against significant harm or serious exploitation.) None.	Safeguarding is about ensuring that everything is in place to promote the well-being of children and vulnerable adults, preventing them from being harmed and protecting those who are at risk of abuse and neglect. None.	n/a
Corporate Parenting	This relates to those children who are 'looked after' by the local authority either through a voluntary arrangement with their parents or through a court order. The council has a corporate duty to consider looked after children especially and promote their welfare (in a way, as though those children were their own). None.	None.	n/a

5. What evidence and data has informed the development of your proposal?

This will include your baseline position, measures and studies that have informed your thinking and the recommendation you are making. It should allow you to identify whether any changes resulting from the implementation of the recommendation have had a positive or negative effect. Data sources include for example:

- Quantitative data data that provides numerical information, e.g. population figures, number of users/non-users
- Qualitative data data that furnishes evidence of people's perception/views of the service/policy, e.g. analysis of complaints, outcomes of focus groups, surveys
- Local population data including the census figures
- Comparisons with similar policies in other authorities
- Academic publications, research reports, consultants' reports, and reports on any consultation with e.g. trade unions or the voluntary and community sectors.

The Council must keep records of all S106 planning contributions secured, what it has been spent on and when. The decision-making process for some areas of S106 spend, in particular leisure and adult recreation, is via a Select Committee process following officer assessment of bids submitted by community groups. Whilst best practice in terms of community engagement, it is considered that a more strategic use of S106 monies would benefit a wider range of people and also represent a more sustainable use of the monies, and would also reduce spend by other Council services on other projects.

Using S106 money on the more strategic sources would no longer be needed for the	projects would potentially result in better outcomes and result in a budget saving for MCC (because other fundin ose more strategic projects).
The above assessment of the likely impac	cts of the proposal has been based on service delivery knowledge.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eting this form, what are the main positive and negative impacts of your proposal, how have opment of the proposal so far and what will you be doing in future?
-	g from the evaluation which will be included in the Committee report template.
additional infrastructure pressures caused reinforce partnership working (to deliver the	relates to promoting and ensuring sustainable development. S106 planning contributions seek to offset the d by new development. This mandate proposes to focus such spend on more strategic projects, which should ne projects) and create more cohesive communities (by requiring shared use of strategic provision rather than full consideration has been given to the financial implications, which are sustainable in the foreseeable future in mate facing Local Authorities.
·	f gender reassignment, race, religion or beliefs, gender, sexual orientation, marriage or civil partnership, there s budget mandate. There are potential impacts relating to age and/or disability and the physical distance from

7. Actions. As a result of completing this form are there any further actions you will be undertaking? Please detail them below, if applicable.

and accessibility to infrastructure will need to be carefully considered as each project (S106 bid) is assessed.

There are no implications, positive or negative, as a direct result of the budget mandate for corporate parenting or safeguarding.

What are you going to do	When are you going to do it?	Who is responsible	Progress
Budget setting consultation			

8. Monitoring: The impacts of this proposal will need to be monitored and reviewed. Please specify the date at which you will evaluate the impact, and where you will report the results of the review.

The impacts of this proposal will be evaluated on:	?